

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/18/2003 GVANS.001C1 4892 10/715,994 Greg J. VanSkiver **EXAMINER** 08/08/2005 20995 7590 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP JOHNSON III, HENRY M 2040 MAIN STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 3739

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/715,994	VANSKIVER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	May 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) accepted or b) object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) [] Intention Surren	v (PTO 413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Solution 108) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,536,069 to Gores. Gores discloses a mouthpiece comprising upper and lower potions connected by two hinge portions (Fig. 1). The two portion surround teeth and would therefore conform to the gum line. The hinges are resilient and exert divergent forces that hold the portions in proper contact (Col. 1, lines 50-53). The device is disclosed as pliant and may be mode from polyethylene (Col. 1, lines 42-45). Polyethylene is inherently biocompatible and impermeable. A sponge-like material for application of medicinal compounds is disclosed (Col. 2, line 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 3739

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,536,069 to Gores in view of U.S. Patent 2,217,439 to Fuller. Gores is discussed above, but does not teach the material of the sponge. Fuller discloses an oral massaging device comprising sponge rubber that may be used for the application of medicaments (Col. 2, lines 45-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use rubber as the sponge material as taught by Fuller in the invention of Gores as they are both disclosed as being used for the application of medicaments.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,536,069 to Gores in view of U.S. Patent 3,138,820 to August. Gores is discussed above, but does not teach open cell sponges. August teaches a tooth cleaning device with open cell sponge portion for the application of medicaments (Col. 2, lines 27-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the open cell sponge as taught by August in the invention of Gores as both are disclosed as being used for the application of medicaments.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-20 are allowed.

Claims 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 2,857,909 to Johnson teaches a dental appliance with upper and lower portions and compression hinges.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson III

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739